

Monomial expansions of H_p -functions in infinitely many variables

Andreas Defant* Leonhard Frerick† Manuel Maestre‡
Pablo Sevilla-Peris *§

Abstract

Each bounded holomorphic function on the infinite dimensional poly-disk $\mathbb{D}^\infty$, $f \in H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$, defines a formal monomial series expansion that in general does not converge to f . The set $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ contains all z 's in which the monomial series expansion of each function $f \in H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ sums up to $f(z)$. Bohr, Bohnenblust and Hille, showed that it contains $\ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$, but does not contain any of the slices $\ell_{2+\varepsilon} \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$. This was done in the context of Dirichlet series and our article is very much inspired by recent deep developments in this direction. Our main contribution shows that $z \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ whenever $\overline{\lim} \left(\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} \right)^{1/2} < 1/\sqrt{2}$, and conversely $\overline{\lim} \left(\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} \right)^{1/2} \leq 1$ for each $z \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$. The Banach space $H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ can be identified with the Hardy space $H_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$; this motivates a study of sets of monomial convergence of H_p -functions on $\mathbb{T}^\infty$ (consisting of all z 's in $\mathbb{D}^\infty$ for which the series $\sum \hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha$ converges). We show that $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ and $\text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = \ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and give a representation of $H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ in terms of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}^\infty$. This links our circle of ideas with well-known results due to Cole and Gamelin.

*Institut für Mathematik. Universität Oldenburg. D-26111 Oldenburg (Germany)

†Fachbereich IV - Mathematik, Universität Trier, D-54294 Trier (Germany)

‡Dep. Análisis Matemático. Fac. Matemáticas. Universidad de Valencia. 46100 Burjassot (Spain)

§IUMPA. Universitat Politècnica de València. 46010 Valencia (Spain)

The first, third and fourth authors were supported by MICINN and FEDER Project MTM2008-03211. The third author was also supported by Prometeo 2008/101 and by MEC Grant PR2010-0374.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 46E50, 42B30, 30B50, 46G25

1 Introduction

Hilbert in [21] was among the very first who started a systematic study of the concept of analyticity for functions in infinitely many variables. According to Hilbert, an analytic function in infinitely many variables is a $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function defined on the infinite dimensional polydisc $\mathbb{D}^\infty$ which has a pointwise convergent monomial series expansion:

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} c_\alpha z^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbb{N}_0$ stands for the non-negative integers, $\mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$ denotes the set of multi-indices on $\mathbb{N}_0$ (i.e. finite sequences of elements of $\mathbb{N}_0$) and $\mathbb{D}$ is the open unit disc of $\mathbb{C}$. In [21] (see also [20, page 65]) he gave the following criterion for a formal power series $\sum_\alpha c_\alpha z^\alpha$ to generate such a function, i.e. to converge (absolutely) at each point of $\mathbb{D}^\infty$: Every k -dimensional section $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k} c_\alpha z^\alpha$ of the series is pointwise convergent on $\mathbb{D}^k$, and moreover

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}^k} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k} c_\alpha z^\alpha \right| < \infty. \quad (2)$$

But this criterion is not correct as was later discovered by Toeplitz (see below). This fact in infinite dimensions produces a sort of dilemma: There is no way to develop a complex analysis of functions in infinitely many variables which simultaneously handles phenomena on differentiability and analyticity (as happens in finite dimensions). Let us explain why.

Today a holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{D}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is nothing else than a Fréchet complex-differentiable function $f : \mathbb{D}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, i.e. a complex-differentiable $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function defined on $\mathbb{D}^\infty$ (we use this symbol to denote the open unit ball of the Banach space ℓ_∞ of all bounded scalar sequences). As usual the Banach space of all bounded holomorphic $f : \mathbb{D}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ endowed with the supremum norm will be denoted by $H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$. Important examples of such functions are bounded m -homogeneous polynomials $P : \ell_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, restrictions of bounded m -linear forms on $\ell_\infty \times \cdots \times \ell_\infty$ to the diagonal. The Banach space of all such P is denoted by $\mathcal{P}^m(\ell_\infty)$.

It is well known that every holomorphic $\mathbb{C}$ -valued mapping f on the k -dimensional polydisc $\mathbb{D}^k$ has a monomial (or power) series expansion which converges to f at every point of $\mathbb{D}^k$. More precisely, for every such f there is a unique family $(c_\alpha(f))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k} c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha$ for every $z \in \mathbb{D}^k$. The coefficients can be calculated by the Cauchy integral formula

$$c_\alpha(f) = \frac{\partial^\alpha f(0)}{\alpha!} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k} \int_{|z_1|=r} \cdots \int_{|z_k|=r} \frac{f(z)}{z^{\alpha+1}} dz_1 \cdots dz_k; \quad (3)$$

where $0 < r < 1$ is arbitrary. Clearly, every holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{D}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in infinitely many variables, if restricted to a finite dimensional section $\mathbb{D}^k \times \{0\}$ that we identify with $\mathbb{D}^k$, has an everywhere convergent power series expansion $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k} c_\alpha^{(k)}(f) z^\alpha$, $z \in \mathbb{D}^k$. And from the Cauchy formula (3) we can see that $c_\alpha^{(k)}(f) = c_\alpha^{(k+1)}(f)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0^{k+1}$. Thus again there is a unique family $(c_\alpha(f))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that at least for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $z \in \mathbb{D}^k$

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha.$$

This power series is called the monomial series expansion of f , and $c_\alpha = c_\alpha(f)$ are its monomial coefficients; they satisfy (3) whenever $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$.

At first one could expect that each bounded holomorphic function on $\mathbb{D}^\infty$ has a monomial series expansion which again converges at every point and represents the function (this is the statement of the Hilbert's criterion mentioned above) but this is not the case: just take a non-zero functional on ℓ_∞ that is 0 on c_0 (the space of null sequences); its monomial series expansion is 0 on ℓ_∞ and clearly does not represent the function. One could then try with $\mathbb{D}_0^\infty$ (the open unit ball of c_0). Note first that a simple extension argument (see e.g. [11, Lemma 2.2]) allows to identify all formal power series satisfying (2) with all bounded holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}_0^\infty$; more precisely, each $f \in H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty)$ has a monomial series expansion as in (2), and conversely each power series satisfying (2) gives rise to a unique $f \in H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty)$ for which $c_\alpha = c_\alpha(f)$ for all α . This is the reason why Hilbert's criterion is not correct (even if $\mathbb{D}^\infty$ is replaced by $\mathbb{D}_0^\infty$): (2) does not imply (1) since by an example of Toeplitz from [27] we have

$$\exists P \in \mathcal{P}({}^2 c_0) \text{ s.t. } \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists x \in \ell_{4+\varepsilon} : \sum_{\alpha} |c_\alpha(P) x^\alpha| = \infty. \quad (4)$$

This means that there are functions $f \in H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty)$ that cannot be pointwise described by its monomial series expansion as in (1) which at first glance seems scandalous. The main purpose of this article is to give concrete descriptions of the *set of monomial convergence* of all bounded holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}^\infty$:

$$\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty) = \left\{ z \in D^\mathbb{N} \mid \forall f \in H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty) : f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha \right\}$$

(where the equality means that the series converges absolutely as a net and coincides with the function) and the set of monomial convergence of all m -homogeneous polynomials on ℓ_∞

$$\text{mon } \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty) = \left\{ z \in \ell_\infty \mid \forall P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty) : P(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} c_\alpha(P) z^\alpha \right\}.$$

Davie and Gamelin showed [7, Theorem 5] that every function in $H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty)$ can be extended to a function in $H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ with the same norm. Using this it can be seen (see e.g. [13, Remark 6.4]) that

$$\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty) = \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty) \text{ and } \text{mon } \mathcal{P}^m(\ell_\infty) = \text{mon } \mathcal{P}^m(c_0). \quad (5)$$

Let us collect and comment the results on such sets of convergence known so far. Bohr [4] proved

$$\ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty \subseteq \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty), \quad (6)$$

and Bohnenblust-Hille in [3]

$$\ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}} \subseteq \text{mon } \mathcal{P}^m(\ell_\infty). \quad (7)$$

Moreover, these two results in a certain sense are optimal; to see this define

$$M := \sup \{1 \leq p \leq \infty \mid \ell_p \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty \subseteq \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)\},$$

as well as for $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$M_m := \sup \{1 \leq p \leq \infty \mid \ell_p \subseteq \text{mon } \mathcal{P}^m(\ell_\infty)\}.$$

These are two quantities which measure the size of both sets of convergence in terms of the largest possible slices $\ell_p \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$ included in them. The definition of M (at least implicitly) appears in [4], and (6) of course gives that $M \geq 2$. The idea of graduating M through M_m appears first in Toeplitz' article [27]; clearly the estimate $4 \leq M_2$ is a reformulation of (4). After Bohr's paper [4] the intensive search for the exact value of M and M_m was not succesful for more then 15 years. The final answer was given by Bohnenblust and Hille in [3], who were able to prove that

$$M_m = \frac{2m}{m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (8)$$

Their original proofs of the upper bounds are clever and ingenious. However, using modern techniques of probabilistic nature, different from the original ones, they were improved in [13, Example 4.9 and Example 4.6]:

$$\ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty \subseteq \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty) \subseteq \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \ell_{2+\varepsilon}, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\text{mon } \mathcal{P}^m(\ell_\infty) \subseteq \ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}, \infty}. \quad (10)$$

So the question remains whether it is possible to “squeeze” our two sets of convergence in a more drastic way. Historically all these results on sets of monomial convergence (at least those of (4), (6),(7), and (8)) were motivated through the theory of Dirichlet series. An ordinary Dirichlet series is a series of the form $D = \sum_n a_n n^{-s}$, where the a_n are complex coefficients and s is a complex variable. Maximal domains where such Dirichlet series converge conditionally, uniformly or absolutely are half planes $[\operatorname{Re} > \sigma]$, where $\sigma = \sigma_c, \sigma_u$ or σ_a are called the abscissa of conditional, uniform or absolute convergence, respectively. More precisely, $\sigma_\alpha(D)$ is the infimum of all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that on $[\operatorname{Re} > r]$ we have convergence of D of the requested type $\alpha = c, u$ or a . Each Dirichlet series D defines a holomorphic function $d : [\operatorname{Re} > \sigma_c] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If $\sigma_b(D)$ denotes the abscissa of boundedness, i.e. the infimum of all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that d on the half plane $[\operatorname{Re} > r]$ is bounded, then one of the fundamental theorems of Bohr from [5] is

$$\sigma_u(D) = \sigma_b(D). \quad (11)$$

Bohr’s so called *absolute convergence problem* from [4] asked for the largest possible width of the strip in $\mathbb{C}$ on which a Dirichlet series may converge uniformly but not absolutely. In other terms, Bohr defined the number $S := \sup_D \sigma_a(D) - \sigma_u(D)$, where the supremum is taken over all possible Dirichlet series D , and asked for its precise value. In order to explain (in modern terms) Bohr’s strategy to attack the problem we denote by $\mathfrak{P}$ the vector space of all formal power series $\sum_\alpha c_\alpha z^\alpha$, and let $\mathfrak{D}$ be the vector space of all Dirichlet series $\sum a_n n^{-s}$. We denote by $(p_n)_n$ the sequence of prime numbers and $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k} = p^\alpha$ the unique prime decomposition of $n \in \mathbb{N}$; then the linear bijection:

$$\mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{P} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}, \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} c_\alpha z^\alpha \rightsquigarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} \quad (12)$$

(where $a_{p^\alpha} = c_\alpha$) will be called Bohr mapping. Since every holomorphic function f on $\mathbb{D}_0^\infty$ has a unique monomial series expansion, $H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty)$ can be considered as a subspace of $\mathfrak{P}$. In order to see the image of $H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty)$ under the Bohr mapping define the following space $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ of all Dirichlet series $\sum a_n n^{-s}$ which have a limit function d that is defined and bounded on $[\operatorname{Re} > 0]$, and note that $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ together with the norm $\|\sum a_n n^{-s}\| := \sup_{\operatorname{Re} s > 0} |\sum_n a_n 1/n^s|$ forms a Banach space. Now the following fact, essentially due to Bohr (see also [19, Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 3.1]), is fundamental: $\mathfrak{B}$ induces a bijective isometry from $H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_\infty$,

$$H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty) = \mathcal{H}_\infty. \quad (13)$$

Clearly m -homogeneous polynomials are mapped to Dirichlet series $\sum a_n n^{-s}$ in $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ for which $a_n = 0$ for those n that do not have precisely m prime di-

visors (counted according to their multiplicity); such series are also called m -homogeneous. Then the restriction of $\mathfrak{B}$ defines an isometric, onto linear homomorphism between $\mathcal{P}({}^m c_0)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\infty^m$ (the subspace of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ consisting of m -homogeneous Dirichlet series):

$$\mathcal{P}({}^m c_0) = \mathcal{H}_\infty^m. \quad (14)$$

Using the prime number theorem Bohr in [4] proved that $S = \frac{1}{M}$, and concluded from (6) that $S \leq 1/2$. Shortly after that Toeplitz with his result from (4) got $1/4 \leq S \leq 1/2$. Although the general theory of Dirichlet series during the first decades of the last century was one of the most fashionable topics in analysis (with Bohr's absolute convergence problem very much in its focus), the question whether or not $S = 1/2$ remained open for a long period. Finally, Bohnenblust and Hille [3] in 1931 in a rather ingenious fashion answered the problem in the positive. They proved (8), and got as a consequence what we now call the Bohr-Bohnenblust-Hille theorem: $S = \frac{1}{2}$. One of the crucial ideas in the Bohnenblust-Hille approach is that they graduate Bohr's problem: They (at least implicitly) observe that $S_m = \frac{1}{M_m}$, where $S_m = \sup \sigma_a(D) - \sigma_u(D)$, the infimum now taken over all m -homogeneous Dirichlet series. This allows to deduce from (8) the lower bound $\frac{m-1}{2m} = S_m \leq S$, and hence in the limit case as desired $\frac{1}{2} \leq S$.

We finally briefly summarize the two main theorems of this article. But before, we indicate that recently some deep new results (and techniques) within the Bohr-Bohnenblust-Hille cycle of ideas suggest that more precise descriptions of $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ as well as $\text{mon } \mathcal{P}({}^m c_0)$ should be possible. From (11) it can be easily deduced that the fact $S = \frac{1}{2}$ is equivalent to $\sup_{D \in \mathcal{H}_\infty} \sigma_a(D) = \frac{1}{2}$, i.e. for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each Dirichlet series $\sum a_n n^{-s}$ in $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ we have that $\sum_n |a_n| \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} < \infty$, and moreover $\frac{1}{2}$ here can not be improved. What about $\varepsilon = 0$? The answer is yes: It was recently proved in [10] that the supremum of all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\sum a_n n^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{e^{c\sqrt{\log n \log \log n}}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \infty, \quad (15)$$

equals $1/\sqrt{2}$. This is just the final step in a long series of results due to (among others) Balasubramanian, Calado, de la Bret  che, Konyagin or Queff  lec [1, 8, 23, 25]. An interesting consequence is that each Dirichlet series $\sum a_n n^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ even converges absolutely on the vertical line $[\text{Re} = 1/2]$. In view of Bohr's mapping (12) we see that the sequence $(p_n^{-\frac{1}{2}})_n$ belongs to $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$. This sequence is not contained in ℓ_2 since, due to the prime number theorem, it up to constants equals $((n \log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. It seems that this sequence is the very first

known example which really distinguishes $\ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$ and $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$. We define the set

$$\mathbf{B} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty : \limsup \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} < 1 \right\};$$

here z^* stands for the decreasing rearrangement of z (see below for a full definition). Then our main result is Theorem 3.1 which states

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{B} \subseteq \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{B}}, \quad (16)$$

improving the results from (6), (8), and (9). Its homogeneous counterpart is even more satisfying – in Theorem 2.1 we prove that the upper inclusion in (10) is optimal:

$$\text{mon } \mathcal{P}^m(\ell_\infty) = \ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}, \infty}.$$

Here our proof heavily depends on the following recent homogeneous counterpart of (15) due to Balasubramanian, Calado and Queffélec [1, Theorem 1.4]: For each m there exists a constant A_m such that for every $\sum a_n n^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty^m$ we have

$$\sum_n |a_n| \frac{(\log n)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{n^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}} \leq A_m \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_n a_n n^{it} \right|. \quad (17)$$

and again the parameter $\frac{m-1}{2}$ is optimal [24, Theorem 3.1].

The Banach space $H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty)$ can be isometrically identified with the Banach space $H_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ of all L_∞ -functions $f : \mathbb{T}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}(\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$ (here $\mathbb{T}$ denotes the torus, the unit circle of $\mathbb{C}$, and $\mathbb{T}^\infty$ the countable cartesian product of $\mathbb{T}$). In the last section we prove analogs of the results we obtained for $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ and $\text{mon } \mathcal{P}^m(\ell_\infty)$ within Hardy spaces $H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ and $H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, of functions and polynomials in infinitely many variables. We extend and complement results of Cole and Gamelin from [6]. Our main result in this section are Theorems 4.5 and 4.4: for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ we have

$$\text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = \text{mon } H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = \ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty.$$

Let us now fix some more notation and recall some basic definitions. The set of non-negative integers is denoted by $\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ respectively denote the open unit disc and circle of $\mathbb{C}$. Following [26] and [28] m_k and m will denote the product of the normalized Lebesgue measure respectively on $\mathbb{T}^k$ and $\mathbb{T}^\infty$ (i.e. the unique rotation invariant Haar measures). Given a set $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ we write $\Gamma^{(\mathbb{N})} = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Gamma^k$, where we identify Γ^k with $\Gamma^k \times \{0\}$, (i.e. $\Gamma^{(\mathbb{N})}$ consists of sequences

in Γ that eventually vanish). The spaces of q -summable sequences ($1 \leq q < \infty$) are denoted by ℓ_q , while ℓ_∞ and c_0 are respectively the spaces of bounded and null sequences. Given $z \in \ell_\infty$, its decreasing rearrangement is defined by

$$z_n^* := \inf \left\{ \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus J} |z_j| : J \subseteq \mathbb{N}, \text{card}(J) < n \right\}.$$

The Lorentz space $\ell_{q,\infty}$ with $1 \leq q < \infty$ consists of those sequences such that $\sup_n z_n^* n^{1/q} < \infty$ (and this supremum defines the norm). It is a well known fact that $\ell_{q,\infty} \subseteq c_0$, hence $z^* = (|z_{\sigma(n)}|)$ where $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an adequate permutation. On the other hand, ℓ_∞^k stands for $\mathbb{C}^k$ with the sup norm. Given $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the following sets of indices

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(m, k) &= \{\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_m) : 1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_m \leq k\} = \{1, \dots, k\}^m \\ \mathcal{J}(m, k) &= \{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}(m, k) : 1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \leq k\} \\ \Lambda(m, k) &= \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k : \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k = m\}. \end{aligned}$$

An equivalence relation is defined in $\mathcal{M}(m, k)$ as follows: $\mathbf{i} \sim \mathbf{j}$ if there is a permutation σ such that $i_{\sigma(r)} = j_r$ for all r . For each $\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{M}(m, k)$ there is a unique $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m, k)$ such that $\mathbf{i} \sim \mathbf{j}$. On the other hand, there is a one-to-one relation between $\mathcal{J}(m, k)$ and $\Lambda(m, k)$: Given $\mathbf{j}$, one can define $\mathbf{j}_\alpha$ by doing $\mathbf{j}_\alpha = \{k : j_m = r\}$; conversely, for each α , we consider $\mathbf{j}_\alpha = (1, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, 2, \dots, k, \dots, k)$. Note that $\text{card}[\mathbf{j}_\alpha] = \frac{m!}{\alpha!}$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda(m, k)$. Given a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$ we write $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k$.

Taking this correspondence into account, the monomial series expansion of a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m\ell_\infty^k)$ can be expressed in different ways (we write $c_\alpha = c_\alpha(P)$)

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(m, k)} c_\alpha z^\alpha = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m, k)} c_{\mathbf{j}} z_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \leq k} c_{j_1 \dots j_m} z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_m}. \quad (18)$$

Following standard notation for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we write $\Omega(n) = |\alpha|$ whenever $n = p^\alpha$ (this counts the prime divisors of n , according to their multiplicity). Then a Dirichlet series $\sum a_n n^{-s}$ is called m -homogeneous if $a_n = 0$ for every $\Omega(n) \neq m$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_\infty^m$ for the space of m -homogeneous Dirichlet series in $\mathcal{H}_\infty$. Finally, the norms for m -homogeneous polynomials and m -linear forms on ℓ_∞ are as usual defined by $\|P\| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty} |P(z)|$ and $\|A\| = \sup_{z_j \in \mathbb{D}^\infty} |A(z_1, \dots, z_m)|$.

If $f \in H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ and σ is a permutation then the function f_σ defined by $f_\sigma(z) = f((z_{\sigma(n)})_n)$ is again in $H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$. This implies (see e.g. [12, page 550]) that if $z \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ then every permutation of z is again in $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$. The same happens for $\text{mon } \mathcal{P}({}^m\ell_\infty)$.

On the other hand we know from [13, page 30] that if z belongs to $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ (or to $\text{mon } \mathcal{P}({}^m\ell_\infty)$) then it is in c_0 . Hence its decreasing rearrangement is a

permutation of z . Thus $z \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ if and only if $z^* \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ (and the same for $\text{mon } \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty)$).

2 Homogeneous polynomials

By (14) there is a bijection between $\mathcal{P}({}^m c_0)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\infty^m$. We know now thanks to (17) the precise behaviour in the side of Dirichlet series. Our aim is to transfer this knowledge to the polynomials side in order to get a better understanding. We do that in the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\text{mon } \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty) = \ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}, \infty}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |c_\alpha(P) z^\alpha| \leq C^m \|z\|^m \|P\|. \quad (19)$$

for every $z \in \ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}, \infty}$ and every $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty)$.

The inequality (17) is enough to get the set equality $\text{mon } \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty) = \ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}, \infty}$; however, since we are also interested in the behaviour of the norms (19) we need the following refinement of [1, Theorem 1.4]:

Lemma 2.2. *There is a constant $K > 0$ such that for every m -homogeneous Dirichlet polynomial $\sum a_n n^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty^m$,*

$$\sum_n |a_n| \frac{(\log n)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{n^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}} \leq K^m \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_n a_n n^{it} \right|.$$

To prove this we need the following Lemma, a variant of one of the two main ingredients of the proof of the hypercontractivity of the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality [10, (14)] (see [14, Lemma 5.2] for a more general setting).

Lemma 2.3. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every m -homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty^k)$ with coefficients $(c_j)_{j \in \mathcal{J}(m,k)}$ (we write $c_j = c_j(P)$) we have*

$$\sum_{j_m=1}^k \left(\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{J}(m-1,k) \\ j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{m-1} \leq j_m}} |c_{j_1 \dots j_m}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq m \sqrt{2}^{m-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m-1} \right)^{m-1} \|P\|.$$

Proof. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty^k)$ be an m -homogeneous polynomial and A its associated symmetric m -linear mapping. It is well known that the monomial coefficients $c_{j_1 \dots j_m}$ of P and the coefficients $a_{i_1 \dots i_m} := A(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_m})$ defining A satisfy $c_j = \text{card}[j] a_j$.

On the other hand, for each $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1, k)$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$ we write $(\mathbf{j}, j) = (j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}, j) \in \mathcal{M}(m, k)$ and we have

$$\frac{\text{card}[(\mathbf{j}, j)]}{\text{card}[\mathbf{j}]} = \frac{m!}{(m-1)!} \cdot \frac{|\{r \mid j_r = 1\}|!}{|\{r \mid (\mathbf{j}, j)_r = 1\}|!} \cdots \frac{|\{r \mid j_r = k\}|!}{|\{r \mid (\mathbf{j}, j)_r = k\}|!} \leq m.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j_m=1}^k \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1, k) \\ j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m}} |c_{j_1 \dots j_m}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1, k) \\ j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{m-1} \leq j}} |\text{card}[(\mathbf{j}, j)] a_{(\mathbf{j}, j)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1, k)} |\text{card}[(\mathbf{j}, j)] a_{(\mathbf{j}, j)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq m \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1, k)} |\text{card}[\mathbf{j}] a_{(\mathbf{j}, j)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the proof of [2, Theorem 9] (see also [9, Lemma 3.6]) it is proved that for every polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^m \mathbb{C}^k)$ with $P(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(m, k)} c_\alpha z^\alpha$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(m, k)} |c_\alpha|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{2}^m \int_{\mathbb{T}^k} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(m, k)} c_\alpha z^\alpha \right| dm_k(z) \quad (20)$$

Using this we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1, k)} |\text{card}[\mathbf{j}] a_{(\mathbf{j}, j)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{T}^k} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1, k)} \text{card}[\mathbf{j}] a_{(\mathbf{j}, j)} z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_{m-1}} \right| dm_k(z) \\ &= \sqrt{2}^{m-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left| \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}(m-1, k)} a_{(\mathbf{j}, j)} z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_{m-1}} \right| dm_k(z) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}^{m-1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T}^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left| \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}(m-1, k)} a_{(\mathbf{j}, j)} z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_{m-1}} \right| \\ &= \sqrt{2}^{m-1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}^k} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{D}^k} \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}(m-1, k)} a_{(\mathbf{j}, j)} z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_{m-1}} y_j \right| \\ &= \sqrt{2}^{m-1} \sup_{z, y \in \mathbb{D}^k} |A(z, \overset{m-1}{\dots}, z, y)| \leq \sqrt{2}^{m-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m-1} \right)^{m-1} \|P\|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from an estimate of Harris [18, Theorem 1]. This completes the proof. $\square$

A careful analysis of [1, Theorem 1.4] using Lemma 2.3 gives Lemma 2.2 (see also [14, Theorem 5.1] for more details and a vector valued version). We are now ready to give the proof of the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. In view of (10) we only have to show $\ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}, \infty}$ is a subset of $\text{mon } \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty)$. We begin by observing that for every $(x_j)_j$ with $x_j \geq 0$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$ with $|\alpha| = m$ we have, by a simple application of the binomial formula,

$$(x^\alpha)^{1/m} \leq \sum_j \alpha_j x_j. \quad (21)$$

Now, if $z \in \ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}, \infty}$ we have $\sup_n z_n^* n^{\frac{m-1}{2m}} = \|z\| < \infty$. Then by the Prime Number Theorem there is a universal constant $C_1 > 0$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$z_n^* \leq \|z\| \frac{1}{n^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}} \leq \|z\| \left(\frac{\log(n \log n)}{n \log n} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{2m}} \leq \|z\| C_1 \left(\frac{\log p_n}{p_n} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}.$$

Now for a fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$ we have $\sum \alpha_j \log p_j = \sum \log p_j^{\alpha_j} = \log \prod p_j^{\alpha_j} = \log p^\alpha$. Applying (21) with $x_j = \log p_j$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} z^{*\alpha} &\leq (\|z\| C_1)^m \left(\left(\frac{\log p}{p} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{2m}} \right)^\alpha = (\|z\| C_1)^m \frac{([\log p]^\alpha)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{(p^\alpha)^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}} \\ &\leq (\|z\| C_1)^m \frac{\left(\sum \alpha_j \log p_j \right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{(p^\alpha)^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}} = (\|z\| C_1)^m \frac{(\log p^\alpha)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{(p^\alpha)^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Given any polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty)$ with coefficients (c_α) we apply this and we write $a_n = c_\alpha$ for $n = p^\alpha$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |c_\alpha| z^{*\alpha} &\leq (\|z\| C_1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_{p^\alpha}| \frac{(\log p^\alpha)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{(p^\alpha)^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}} \\ &= (\|z\| C_1)^m \sum_{\Omega(n)=m} |a_n| \frac{(\log n)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{n^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $\mathfrak{B} : \mathcal{P}({}^m c_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\infty^m$ is an isometry ((14)) we have

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |c_\alpha| z^{*\alpha} \leq C^m \|z\|^m \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{\Omega(n)=m} a_n n^{it} \right| = C^m \|z\|^m \|P|_{c_0}\| \leq C^m \|z\|^m \|P\|.$$

This shows that $z^* \in \text{mon } \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty)$. Now, $z \in c_0$ since $z \in \ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}, \infty}$, hence there is a permutation σ such that $z_n = z_{\sigma(n)}^*$. From this we have $z \in \text{mon } \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty)$ and (19) holds. $\square$

Remark 2.4. Inequality (19) can be rewritten as

$$\sup_{z \in B_{\ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}, \infty}(\mathbb{N})}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |c_\alpha(P) z^\alpha| \leq C^m \|P\| \quad (22)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty)$. This can be seen as some sort of Bohnenblust-Hille like inequality in the following sense. The Bohnenblust-Hille inequality says that $\|(c_\alpha)\|_{\ell_{\frac{2m}{m+1}}(\mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})})} \leq C^m \|P\|$ (note that both the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality and (22) are hypercontractive). Fournier showed in [17] that $\|(c_\alpha)\|_{\ell_{\frac{2m}{m+1}, 1}(\mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})})} \leq C_m \|P\|$, which by duality can be refrased as

$$\sup_{\lambda \in B_{\ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}, \infty}(\mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})})}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |c_\alpha(P) \lambda_\alpha| \leq C_m \|P\|.$$

Remark 2.5. Inequality (19) allows us to improve (6). Let us define $\ell_{2,0} = \{z \in \ell_\infty : \lim_n z_n^* \sqrt{n} = 0\}$; then

$$\ell_{2,0} \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty \subseteq \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty). \quad (23)$$

We sketch now a proof of this fact. Since $B_{\ell_{2,0}} \subseteq \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_{\ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}, \infty}}$, by (19) and [13, Theorem 5.1] there exists an $r > 0$ such that $r B_{\ell_{2,0}} \subseteq \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$. Then $(\frac{r}{\sqrt{n}})_n \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$; this easily gives (using [12, Lemma 2]) that z^* belongs to $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ for every $z \in \ell_{2,0} \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$ and (23) follows. In the next section we improve this estimate, but let us note that by the Prime Number Theorem the sequence $(\frac{1}{p_n^{1/2}}) \in \ell_{2,0}$ and hence belongs to $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ while it does not belong to ℓ_2 .

3 Holomorphic functions

Again we have the link (15) between holomorphic functions and Dirichlet series (13), and again we know precisely what happens in the Dirichlet side, while our knowledge on the power series side (9) is weaker. In the previous section we managed to strengthen it a little bit. We show now that we can actually go further.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$.*

(i) *If $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} < \frac{1}{2}$, then $z \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$.*

(ii) *If $z \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$, then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} \leq 1$.*

Remark 3.2. If z is such that $\limsup_n \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} < \infty$, then $\sup_n \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2} < \infty$ and, since $nz_n^{*2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{*2}$, this implies

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} z_n^* \sqrt{\frac{n}{\log n}} = K < \infty. \quad (24)$$

Hence $\lim_n z_n^* = 0$ and $z \in c_0$. This in particular means that the decreasing rearrangement is just a permutation of z .

We need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(r_j)_j$ be non-increasing sequence in $[0, 1[$ that converges to 0 and $(\alpha_n)_n$ a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers that converges to ∞ ; then the following are equivalent,*

- (i) *For every $\rho < 1$ there exists $C_\rho > 0$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n -\log(1 - (\rho r_j)^2) \leq C_\rho + \alpha_n$ for all n .*
- (ii) *For every $\rho < 1$ there exists $C_\rho > 0$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n (\rho r_j)^2 \leq C_\rho + \alpha_n$ for all n .*
- (iii) $\limsup_n \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^2 \leq 1$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows from the fact that $x < -\log(1 - x)$ for all $0 < x < 1$. On the other hand, to prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), let us fix $\rho < 1$ and choose some $\rho < \rho' < 1$. Since

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log(1 - (\rho r_j)^2)}{r_j^2} = \rho^2 < \rho'^2$$

there exists n_0 such that $-\log(1 - (\rho r_j)^2) < (\rho' r_j)^2$ for every $j \geq n_0$. On the one hand, for $n \leq n_0$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n -\log(1 - (\rho r_j)^2) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} -\log(1 - (\rho r_j)^2) + C_{\rho'} + \alpha_n$$

On the other hand, if $n > n_0$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n -\log(1 - (\rho r_j)^2) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} -\log(1 - (\rho r_j)^2) + \sum_{j=n_0+1}^n (\rho' r_j)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} -\log(1 - (\rho r_j)^2) + \sum_{j=1}^n (\rho' r_j)^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} -\log(1 - (\rho r_j)^2) + C_{\rho'} + \alpha_n. \end{aligned}$$

Definig $C_\rho = \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} -\log(1 - (\rho r_j)^2) + C_{\rho'}$, this altogether shows that (i) holds. Let us assume now that (ii) holds, then for each $\rho < 1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_n} \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^2 = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\rho r_j)^2 \leq \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{1}{\alpha_n} (C_\rho + \alpha_n) = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(\frac{C_\rho}{\alpha_n} + 1 \right),$$

and this converges to $\frac{1}{\rho^2}$. This implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^2 \leq 1.$$

Let us finally show that (iii) implies (ii). Fix $\rho < 1$, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\rho r_j)^2 \leq \rho^2 < 1.$$

Then there is some n_0 such that $\frac{1}{\alpha_n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\rho r_j)^2 \leq 1$ for every $n \geq n_0$. Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\rho r_j)^2 \leq \alpha_n \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} (\rho r_j)^2 + \alpha_n$$

for every $n \geq n_0$. On the other hand, if $n \leq n_0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\rho r_j)^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} (\rho r_j)^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} (\rho r_j)^2 + \alpha_n.$$

This finally shows that (ii) holds. $\square$

We can now give the *Proof of Theorem 3.1–(ii)*. Let us fix $z \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$; then $z \in c_0$ and without loss of generality we may assume $z = r = (r_n)_n$ with $0 \leq r_n < 1$, non-increasing and that converges to 0. By [13, Lemma 4.1] there exists C_1 such that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |c_\alpha(P)| r^\alpha \leq C_1 \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha(P) z^\alpha \right\| \quad (25)$$

for every m -homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m \ell_\infty^n)$. By [22, Chapter 6, Theorem 4] there exists $C_2 > 0$ so that for any finite family $(a_\alpha)_\alpha$ of complex numbers with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $|\alpha| = m$, there exists a choice of signs $\varepsilon_\alpha = \pm 1$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon_\alpha a_\alpha z^\alpha \right\| \leq C_2 \left(n \sum_{\alpha} |a_\alpha|^2 \log m \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (26)$$

Consider $a_\alpha = r^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda(m, n)$. We apply (25) for $c_\alpha = \varepsilon_\alpha a_\alpha$ and then (26) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(m, n)} r^{2\alpha} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(m, n)} |\varepsilon_\alpha r^\alpha| r^\alpha \leq C_1 \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(m, n)} \varepsilon_\alpha a_\alpha z^\alpha \right\| \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \left(n \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(m, n)} |a_\alpha|^2 \log m \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = C \sqrt{n \log m} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(m, n)} r^{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \\ |\alpha|=m}} r^{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \sqrt{n \log m}.$$

Then, for a given $\rho < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - (\rho r_j)^2} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} (\rho r)^\alpha = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \rho^{2m} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \\ |\alpha|=m}} r^{2\alpha} \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \rho^{2m} C^2 n \log m = 1 + C^2 n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \rho^{2m} \log m \leq K n, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds because the series $\sum_m \rho^{2m} \log m$ converges. This implies

$$\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - (\rho r_j)^2} \right) \leq \log K + \log n$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n -\log(1 - (\rho r_j)^2) \leq C_\rho + \log n.$$

Lemma 3.3 gives the conclusion. $\square$

We give now the *Proof of Theorem 3.1–(i)*. By Remark 3.2 z is a null sequence and its decreasing rearrangement z^* can be written as a sequence $(r_n)_n$ of non-negative numbers decreasing to 0. We write

$$\frac{1}{a^2} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^2 < \frac{1}{2}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $ar_j < 1$ for every j . Indeed, if that were not the case, take n_0 such that $r_n < \frac{1}{a}$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and define

$$\tilde{r}_n = \begin{cases} r_{n_0} & \text{if } n < n_0 \\ r_n & \text{if } n_0 \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Then the sequence $(\tilde{r}_n)_n$ is non-increasing and $0 < \tilde{r}_n < 1$ for all n ; moreover $\limsup \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{r}_j^2 = \frac{1}{a^2} < \frac{1}{2}$ and $a\tilde{r}_n < 1$ for all n . By [12, Lemma 2] $r \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ if and only if $\tilde{r} \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$.

From (24) we have $\log \frac{1}{r_n^2} \geq \log \frac{n}{\log n} - \log K$. But $\lim_n \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} = 1$; hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{r_n^2}} \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^2 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^2 = \frac{1}{a^2} \leq 1$$

and this gives $\limsup_n \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{r_n^2}} \sum_{j=1}^n (ar_j)^2 \leq 1$. We fix now $\sqrt{2} < a_0 < a$ and take $\rho_0 < 1$ with $\rho_0 a = a_0$. By applying Lemma 3.3 to the sequence $(ar_j)_j$ we find some constant $C_0 > 0$ such that, for all n

$$\sum_{j=1}^n -\log(1 - (a_0 r_j)^2) \leq C_0 + \log \frac{1}{r_n^2}.$$

Since all the terms are positive we have for all n, m

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \\ |\alpha|=m}} (a_0 r)^{2\alpha} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} (a_0 r)^{2\alpha} = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - (a_0 r_j)^2} = \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-\log(1 - (a_0 r_j)^2)} \\ &= e^{\sum_{j=1}^n -\log(1 - (a_0 r_j)^2)} \leq e^{C_0} e^{\log \frac{1}{r_n^2}} = C \frac{1}{r_n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies, for every m ,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} r_n^2 \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \\ |\alpha|=m}} r^{2\alpha} \leq \frac{C}{a_0^{2m}}. \quad (27)$$

We take now $f \in H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ with monomial coefficients $(c_\alpha(f))_\alpha$ and Taylor expansion $f = \sum_m P_m$. For each of these polynomials P_m we consider $P_{m,n}$, the restriction to ℓ_∞^n . The Cauchy inequalities give $\|P_{m,n}\| \leq \|P_m\| \leq \|f\|$ for all m, n . Then for each $m \geq 1$ we apply (27) with $m-1$ and Lemma 2.3 (using (18))

to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \\ |\alpha|=m}} |c_\alpha(f)| r^\alpha &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_m \leq n} |c_{i_1 \dots i_m}| r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_m} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{m-1} \leq j} |c_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1} j}| r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_{m-1}} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{m-1} \leq j} |c_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1} j}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{m-1} \leq j} r_{i_1}^2 \cdots r_{i_{m-1}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} r_j \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{m-1} \leq j} r_{i_1}^2 \cdots r_{i_{m-1}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{m-1} \leq j} |c_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1} j}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} r_j \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^j \\ |\alpha|=m-1}} r^{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{m-1} \leq j} |c_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1} j}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{C}}{a_0^{m-1}} m (\sqrt{2})^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m-1}\right)^{m-1} \|P_{m,n}\| \leq \tilde{C} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{a_0}\right)^{m-1} m \|f\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |c_\alpha(f)| r^\alpha = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \\ |\alpha|=m}} |c_\alpha(f)| r^\alpha \leq |c_0| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tilde{C} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{a_0}\right)^{m-1} m \|f\| \leq K \|f\|.$$

Since this holds for every n we finally have

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} |c_\alpha(f)| r^\alpha \leq K \|f\|.$$

This implies $r \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ and completes the proof. $\square$

3.1 Dismissing candidates

Our aim was to describe $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ in terms of a sequence space; in other words, to find a sequence space X such that $X \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty = \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$. The first natural candidate to do that job was ℓ_2 but, as we already said in the introduction, [1, Theorem 1.1(b)] implies that the sequence $(p_n^{-1/2})_n$ belongs to the set $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ although it is not in ℓ_2 . Then three other natural candidates are the spaces $\ell_{2,0}$ (already defined in Remark 2.5), the Lorentz space $\ell_{2,\infty}$ and the space defined by

$$\ell_{2,\log} = \left\{ z \in \ell_\infty : \exists c \forall n; z_n^* \leq c \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}, \forall n \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.1 shows that neither $\ell_{2,0}$ nor $\ell_{2,\log}$ are the proper spaces, since we have

$$\ell_{2,0} \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty \subsetneq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{B} \subseteq \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty) \subseteq \bar{\mathbf{B}} \subsetneq \ell_{2,\log} \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty. \quad (28)$$

Before we proceed, let us note that since $\limsup_n \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} = 1$ we have that

$$\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty) \text{ for all } c < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ and} \quad (29)$$

$$\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \right)_{n \geq c^2} \notin \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty) \text{ for all } c > 1. \quad (30)$$

Now, (29) immediately gives $\ell_{2,0} \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty \subsetneq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{B}$. Let us point out that this shows that Theorem 3.1 implies Remark 2.5, giving more information.

The last inclusion in (28) follows from (24). On the other hand,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log j}{j}} \right)^2 \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\log 3}{j} = \log 3 > 1$$

gives $\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}} \right)_n \notin \bar{\mathbf{B}}$ and shows that this inclusion is also strict.

In certain steps of the proof of Theorem 3.1-(i) we have used the fact that, being $(r_n)_n$ decreasing, $nr_n^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^2$. One may wonder if then the condition $\limsup_n nz_n^{*2} < 1/2$ is enough, but the previous comment shows that this is not the case.

Again, $\ell_{2,\infty}$ is not the right candidate; the situation now is slightly more complicated. First of all by (29) we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} B_{\ell_{2,\infty}} \subseteq \text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty).$$

Again, Theorem 3.1 is stronger than Remark 2.5, since it gives a concrete value to the r obtained there. But more can be said; (30) shows that there are sequences in $\ell_{2,\infty} \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$ that do not belong to $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$. On the other hand there are sequences in $\text{mon } H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ that do not belong to $\ell_{2,\infty}$. To check this claim, we take an strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers $(n_k)_k$ with $n_1 > 1$, satisfying that the sequence $(\frac{k+1}{n_k})_k$ is strictly decreasing and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k+1}{n_k} < \frac{1}{2};$$

(take for example $n_k = a^{k^2(k+1)}$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough). Now we define

$$r_j = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1}} & 1 \leq j \leq n_1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{k+1}{n_{k+1}}} & n_k < j \leq n_{k+1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

The sequence (r_n) is decreasing to 0. Clearly, $n_k r_{n_k}^2 = k$ for all k . Thus (r_n) does not belong to $\ell_{2,\infty}$. But for $n > n_1$, if $n_k < n \leq n_{k+1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^2 &= \frac{1}{\log n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{1}{n_1} + \sum_{h=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=n_h+1}^{n_{h+1}} r_j^2 + \sum_{j=n_k+1}^n r_j^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\log n} \left(1 + \sum_{h=1}^{k-1} \frac{n_{h+1} - n_h}{n_{h+1}} (h+1) + \frac{n_{k+1} - n_k}{n_{k+1}} (k+1) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\log n_1} + \sum_{h=1}^{k-1} \frac{h+1}{\log n_{h+1}} + \frac{k+1}{\log n_k} < \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{h+1}{n_h} + \frac{k+1}{\log n_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\limsup_n \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^2 < \frac{1}{2}$, and therefore $(r_n)_n \in \text{mon } H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^{\infty})$.

In fact, Theorem 3.1, through an argument like in (29) and (30), shows that there is no Banach sequence space X such that $\text{mon } H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^{\infty}) = X \cap \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$.

4 Hardy spaces

We draw now our attention to functions on $\mathbb{T}^{\infty}$, the infinite dimensional polytorus. We recall that m denotes the product of the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$. Given a function $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$, its Fourier coefficients $(\hat{f}(\alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})}}$ are defined by $\hat{f}(\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} f(w) w^{-\alpha} dm(w) = \langle f, w^{\alpha} \rangle$ where $w^{\alpha} = w_1^{\alpha_1} \dots w_n^{\alpha_n}$ if $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n, 0, \dots)$ for $w \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$, and the bracket $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ refers to the duality between $L_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$ and $L_q(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$ for $1/p + 1/q = 1$. With this, the Hardy spaces are defined as

$$H_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}) = \left\{ f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}) : \hat{f}(\alpha) = 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})} \right\}.$$

We define the set

$$\text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty} : \sum_{\alpha} |\hat{f}(\alpha) z^{\alpha}| < \infty \text{ for all } f \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}) \right\}. \quad (31)$$

Our aim in this section is to determine these sets. As we did for holomorphic functions, we approach first the m -homogeneous case.

4.1 The homogeneous case

We consider, for each m , the following closed subspace

$$H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}) = \left\{ f \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}) : \hat{f}(\alpha) \neq 0 \Rightarrow |\alpha| = m \right\}. \quad (32)$$

of $L_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. By [6, Section 9] this is the completion of the m -homogeneous trigonometric polynomials (functions on $\mathbb{T}^\infty$ that are finite sums of the form $\sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha w^\alpha$). A sort of Khintchine-type inequality from [6, 9.1 Theorem] shows that, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, all $\|\cdot\|_p$ -norms are equivalent for the m -homogeneous trigonometric polynomials and then $H_q^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ for all $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and all m with equivalent norms.

Our aim now is to describe the set $\text{mon } H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$, defined analogously to (31). We deal with two separate situations: $p = \infty$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The first case will follow from Theorem 2.1, after showing that $H_\infty^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ can be identified with $\mathcal{P}^m(c_0)$. The basic idea here is, given a polynomial on c_0 , extend it to ℓ_∞ and then restrict it to $\mathbb{T}^\infty$. Let us very briefly recall how m -homogeneous polynomials on a Banach space X can be extended to its bidual (see [16, Section 6] or [15, Proposition 1.53]). First of all, every m -linear mapping $A : X \times \cdots \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has a unique extension (called the Arens extension) $\tilde{A} : X^{**} \times \cdots \times X^{**} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for all $j = 1, \dots, m$, all $x_k \in X$ and $z_k \in X^{**}$, the mapping that to $z \in X^{**}$ associates $\tilde{A}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, z, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_m)$ is weak*-continuous. Now, given $P \in \mathcal{P}^m(X)$, we take its associated symmetric m -linear form A and define its Aron–Berner extension $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}^m(X^{**})$ by $\tilde{P}(z) = \tilde{A}(z, \dots, z)$. By [7, Theorem 3] we have

$$\sup_{x \in B_X} |P(x)| = \sup_{z \in B_{X^{**}}} |\tilde{P}(z)|. \quad (33)$$

Hence, the operator $AB : \mathcal{P}^m(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^m(X^{**})$ defined by $AB(P) = \tilde{P}$ is a linear isometry.

Proposition 4.1. *The mapping $\psi : \mathcal{P}^m(c_0) \rightarrow H_\infty^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ given by*

$$\psi(P)(w) = AB(P)(w)$$

for $w \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$ is and $P \in \mathcal{P}^m(c_0)$ a surjective isometry.

Proof. Let us note first that, by the very definition of the Aron–Berner extension, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$, the monomial $x \in c_0 \mapsto x^\alpha$ is extended to the monomial $z \in \ell_\infty \mapsto z^\alpha$. Then the set of finite sums of the type $\sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha x^\alpha$ is bijectively and isometrically mapped onto the set of m -homogeneous trigonometric polynomials. By [15, Propositions 1.59 and 2.8] the monomials on c_0 with $|\alpha| = m$ generate a dense subspace of $\mathcal{P}^m(c_0)$. On the other hand, by [6, Section 9] the trigonometric polynomials are dense in $H_\infty^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. This gives the result. $\square$

To deal with the case $1 \leq p < \infty$ we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. $\text{mon } H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty) \subseteq \text{mon } H_p^{m-1}(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$.

Proof. Let $0 \neq z \in \text{mon } H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ and $f \in \text{mon } H_p^{m-1}(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. We choose $z_{i_0} \neq 0$ and define $\tilde{f}(w) = w_{i_0} f(w)$. Let us see that $\tilde{f} \in H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$; indeed, take a sequence $(f_n)_n$ of $(m-1)$ -homogeneous trigonometric polynomials that converges in the space $L_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ to f . Each f_n is a finite sum of the type $\sum_{|\alpha|=m-1} c_\alpha^{(n)} w^\alpha$. We define for $w \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$

$$\tilde{f}_n(w) = w_{i_0} f_n(w) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m-1} c_\alpha^{(n)} w_1^{\alpha_1} \dots w_{i_0}^{\alpha_{i_0}+1} \dots w_k^{\alpha_k}.$$

Clearly $\tilde{f}_n$ is an m -homogeneous trigonometric polynomial. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |w_{i_0} f_n(w) - w_{i_0} f(w)|^p dm(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |w_{i_0}|^p |f_n(w) - f(w)|^p dm(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |f_n(w) - f(w)|^p dm(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last term converges to 0, hence $(\tilde{f}_n)_n$ converges in $L_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ to $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{f} \in H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. We compute now the Fourier coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tilde{f}}(\alpha) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \tilde{f}(w) w^{-\alpha} dm(w) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} w_{i_0} f(w) w^{-\alpha} dm(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} f(w) w_1^{-\alpha_1} \dots w_{i_0}^{-\alpha_{i_0}+1} \dots w_n^{-\alpha_n} dm(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} f(w) w_1^{-\alpha_1} \dots w_{i_0}^{-(\alpha_{i_0}-1)} \dots w_n^{-\alpha_n} dm(w) \\ &= \hat{f}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i_0}-1, \dots, \alpha_n). \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\hat{\tilde{f}}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \hat{f}(\beta) & \text{if } \alpha = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{i_0}+1, \dots, \beta_n) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta} |\hat{f}(\beta) z^\beta| &= \frac{1}{|z_{i_0}|} \sum_{\beta} |\hat{f}(\beta) z^\beta| |z_{i_0}| \\ &= \frac{1}{|z_{i_0}|} \sum_{\beta} |\hat{f}(\beta) z_1^{\beta_1} \dots z_{i_0}^{\beta_{i_0}+1} \dots z_n^{\beta_n}| = \sum_{\alpha} |\hat{\tilde{f}}(\alpha) z^\alpha| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $z \in \text{mon } H_p^{m-1}(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. □

To give the description of $\text{mon } H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ we aim at we are going to use the following result, that re-proves the know fact from [6] that $H_1^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = H_2^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ but with a more precise control of the constants on the equivalence of the norms. We get this control from (20), the inequality on polynomials on finitely many variables that we already used in the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 4.3. We have $H_1^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = H_2^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ and for all f

$$\|f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_2 \leq (\sqrt{2})^m \|f\|_1. \quad (34)$$

Proof. If f is a trigonometric polynomial, then there is a finite set J of multi-indices of order m such that $f(w) = \sum_{\alpha \in J} c_\alpha w^\alpha$ for all $w \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$. But, being J finite, there is k such that $J \subseteq \Lambda(m, k)$ and $\sum_{\alpha \in J} c_\alpha z^\alpha$, now for $z \in \mathbb{C}^k$, defines an m -homogeneous polynomial in k variables. Then (20) gives that (34) holds for every trigonometric polynomial. Since these are dense both in $H_1^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ and in $H_2^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ we have that both spaces are equal and (34) holds for every f . $\square$

Theorem 4.4.

$$\text{mon } H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = \begin{cases} \ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty & \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1}, \infty} \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty & \text{for } p = \infty \end{cases}$$

Moreover, there exists some universal constant $C > 0$ such that if $z \in \text{mon } H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ and $f \in H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ then

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha| \leq C^m \|z\|^m \|f\|_p, \quad (35)$$

where $\|z\|$ is the norm in the corresponding sequence space.

Proof. The case $p = \infty$ follows from Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 4.1. For $p < \infty$ let us first observe that by [6, 9.1 Theorem] $H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = H_2^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ with equivalent norms, hence

$$\text{mon } H_p^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = \text{mon } H_2^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$$

for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ and all m and it suffices to handle the case $p = 2$. If $z \in \ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$ and $f \in H_2^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ we apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the binomial formula to get

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})} \\ |\alpha|=m}} |\hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha| \leq \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})} \\ |\alpha|=m}} |\hat{f}(\alpha)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})} \\ |\alpha|=m}} |z|^{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|z\|_2^m \|f\|_2 < \infty; \quad (36)$$

this implies $z \in \text{mon } H_2^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$.

Let us now fix $z \in \text{mon } H_2^1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. By a closed-graph argument, there exists c_z such that for every $f \in H_2^1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ the inequality $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\hat{f}(n) z_n| \leq c_z \|f\|_2$ holds. We fix now $y \in \ell_2$ and for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a function $f_N : \mathbb{T}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $f_N(w) = \sum_{n=1}^N w_n y_n$. Clearly $\hat{f}(n) = y_n$ for $n = 1, \dots, N$ and $f \in H_2^1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$; then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |\hat{f}(n) z_n| \leq c_z \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |y_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_z \|y\|_2 < \infty.$$

This holds for every N , hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)z_n| \leq c_z \|y\|_2$ and, since this holds for every $y \in \ell_2$, we have $z \in \ell_2$. This gives

$$\ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \subseteq \text{mon } H_2^m(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}) \subseteq \text{mon } H_2^1(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}) \subseteq \ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^{\infty}.$$

Finally, inequality (35) follows immediately from Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 4.1 for the case $p = \infty$. Inequality (36) gives (35) for $2 \leq p < \infty$ with $C \leq 1$. Finally (36) and (34) give the inequality with $C \leq \sqrt{2}$ whenever $1 \leq p < 2$. $\square$

4.2 The general case

We address now our main goal of describing $\text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$. As it often happens (and was also the case in the m -homogeneous setting), there are only two significant cases: $p = \infty$ and $p = 2$. The description of $\text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ will follow from the cases $p = 2$ and $p = 1$, showing that these two coincide. We state our main result.

Theorem 4.5.

(i) We have $\text{mon } H_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}) = \text{mon } H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^{\infty})$. In particular, by Theorem 3.1

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{B} \subseteq \text{mon } H_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{B}}.$$

(ii) For $1 \leq p < \infty$ we have $\text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}) = \ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$.

Part (i) follows immediately from (5) and the following result, that is known (see [6, 11.2 Theorem] and [19, Lemma 2.3]); we include an elementary direct proof of it for the sake of completeness. The statement about the inverse mapping seems to be new.

Proposition 4.6. *There exists a unique surjective isometry $\phi: H_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}) \rightarrow H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_0^{\infty})$ such that $c_{\alpha}(\phi(f)) = \hat{f}(\alpha)$ for every $f \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$ and every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Moreover, when restricted to $H_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$, the mapping ψ defined in Proposition 4.1 and ϕ are inverse to each other.*

Proof. First of all, let us note that in the finite dimensional setting the result is true: It is a well known fact (see e.g. [26, 3.4.4 exercise (c)]) that for each n there exists an isometric bijection $\phi_n: H_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that $c_{\alpha}(\phi(f)) = \tilde{f}(\alpha)$ for every $f \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

Take now $f \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$ and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$; since we can consider $\mathbb{T}^{\infty} = \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$, we write $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n, \tilde{w}_n) \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$. Then we define $f_n: \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f_n(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} f(w_1, \dots, w_n, \tilde{w}_n) dm(\tilde{w}_n).$$

By the Fubini theorem f_n is well defined a.e. and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} f(w) dm(w) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} f(w_1, \dots, w_n, \tilde{w}_n) dm(\tilde{w}_n) \right) dm_n(w_1, \dots, w_n),$$

hence $f_n \in L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Moreover, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have, again by Fubini

$$\hat{f}_n(\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T}^\infty} f(w) w^{-\alpha} dm(w) = \hat{f}(\alpha).$$

Thus $\hat{f}_n(\alpha) = \hat{f}(\alpha) = 0$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $f_n \in H_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Obviously $\|f_n\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ since the measure is a probability. We take $g_n = \phi_n(f_n) \in H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$. We have $\|g_n\|_\infty = \|f_n\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ and

$$g_n(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \hat{f}_n(\alpha) z^\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{D}^n$. Since this holds for every N we can define $g : \mathbb{D}^{(\mathbb{N})} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $g(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} \hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha$. We have $\|g\|_\infty = \sup_n \|g_n\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$. By [11, Lemma 2.2] there exists a unique extension $\tilde{g} \in H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty)$ with $c_\alpha(\tilde{g}) = \hat{f}(\alpha)$ and $\|\tilde{g}\|_\infty = \|g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$. Setting $\phi(f) = \tilde{g}$ we have that $\phi : H_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty) \rightarrow H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty)$ is well defined and such that for every $f \in H_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ we have $\|\phi(f)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ and $c_\alpha(\phi(f)) = \hat{f}(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$.

On the other hand if $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ is such that $\hat{f}(\alpha) = 0$ for all α then $f = 0$. Hence ϕ is injective.

Let us see that it is also surjective and moreover an isometry. Fix $g \in H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_0^\infty)$ and consider g_n its restriction to the first n variables. Clearly $g_n \in H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\|g_n\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty$. Using again [26, 3.4.4 exercise (c)] we can choose $f_n \in H_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\|f_n\|_\infty = \|g_n\|_\infty$ and $c_\alpha(g_n) = \hat{f}_n(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Since $c_\alpha(g_n) = c_\alpha(g)$ we have $\hat{f}_n(\alpha) = c_\alpha(g)$. We define now $\tilde{f}_n \in H_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ by $\tilde{f}_n(w) = f_n(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ for $w \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$. Then the sequence $(\tilde{f}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is contained in the closed ball in $L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ centered at 0 and with radius $\|g\|_\infty$. Since this ball is w^* -compact and metrizable, there is a subsequence $(\tilde{f}_{n_k})_k$ that w^* -converges to some $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ with $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty$. Moreover, $\hat{f}(\alpha) = \langle f, w^\alpha \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \tilde{f}_{n_k}, w^\alpha \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_{n_k}(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$ and this implies $f \in H_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. Let us see that $\phi(f) = g$, which shows that ϕ is onto; indeed, if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_0}, 0, \dots)$ then for $n_k \geq n_0$ we have

$$\langle \tilde{f}_{n_k}, w^\alpha \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \tilde{f}_{n_k}(w) w^{-\alpha} dm(w) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n_k}} f_{n_k}(w) w^{-\alpha} dm_{n_k}(w) = \hat{f}_{n_k}(\alpha) = c_\alpha(g).$$

Hence $\hat{f}(\alpha) = c_\alpha(g)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Furthermore, since $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty = \|\phi(f)\|_\infty$ we also get that ϕ is an isometry.

Let us fix $P \in \mathcal{P}^m(c_0)$ and show that $\phi^{-1}(P)(w) = \tilde{P}(w)$ for every $w \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$. We choose $(J_k)_k$ a sequence of finite families of multi-indexes included in $\{\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})} : |\alpha| = m\}$ and such that the sequence $P_k = \sum_{\alpha \in J_k} c_{\alpha,k} x^\alpha$ converges uniformly to P on the unit ball of c_0 . Since each J_k is finite, we have

$$\phi^{-1}(P_k)(w) = \sum_{\alpha \in J_k} c_{\alpha,k} w^\alpha = \tilde{P}_k(w),$$

for every $w \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$. The linearity of the AB operator and (33) give that $\|\tilde{P} - \tilde{P}_k\| = \|P - P_k\| = \|\phi^{-1}(P) - \phi^{-1}(P_k)\|$ converges to 0 and complete the proof.

Observe that this argument actually works to prove that $\phi^{-1}(g)(w) = \tilde{g}(w)$ for every $w \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$ and every function g in the completion of the space of all polynomials on c_0 . $\square$

For the proof of part (ii) of Theorem 4.5 we need some previous work. We handle first the case $p = 2$. Here, since $H_2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ is a Hilbert space where $\{w^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}}$ is an orthonormal basis we have $\|f\|_2 = (\sum_\alpha |\hat{f}(\alpha)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. This simplifies a lot the problem and we can readily get the result in this case.

Theorem 4.7. *We have $\text{mon } H_2(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = \ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$ and for each $z \in \ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$ and $f \in H_2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$,*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} |\hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha| \leq \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - |z_n|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_2. \quad (37)$$

Moreover, the constant $(\prod_n \frac{1}{1 - |z_n|^2})^{1/2}$ is optimal.

Proof. The fact that $\ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty \subseteq \text{mon } H_2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ follows by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in a similar way as in (36):

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} |\hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha| \leq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} |\hat{f}(\alpha)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} |z^{2\alpha}| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|f\|_2 \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - |z_n|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, since $H_2^1(\mathbb{T}^\infty) \subseteq H_2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ we have that $\text{mon } H_2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ is a subset of $\text{mon } H_2^1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ and Theorem 4.4 gives the conclusion.

To see that the constant in the inequality is optimal, let us fix z in $\text{mon } H_2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ and take $c > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} |\hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha| \leq c \|f\|_2.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the function $f_n(w) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} z^\alpha w^\alpha$ that clearly satisfies $f_z \in H_2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ and $\hat{f}_z(\alpha) = z^\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ (and 0 otherwise). Hence

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |z^\alpha|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |\hat{f}_z(\alpha) z^\alpha| \leq c \|f\|_2 = c \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |z^\alpha|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This gives

$$c \geq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} |z^\alpha|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\prod_{n=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - |z_n|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for every n . Hence $c \geq \left(\prod_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{1 - |z_n|^2} \right)^{1/2}$ and the proof is completed. $\square$

Since $H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty) \subseteq H_q(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ for all $p \geq q$, the previous result gives $\ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty \subseteq \text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ for every $2 \leq p$. To get the remaining case $1 \leq p < 2$ we need to somehow relate $H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ and $H_2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$.

We are going to use now the fact that $H_1^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = H_2^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ with the control of the constants on the equivalence of the norms that we got in Lemma 4.3.

We will also need the following lemma, an H_p -version of [4, Satz VI] (see also [12, Lemma 2]).

Lemma 4.8. *Let $z \in \text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ and $x = (x_n)_n \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$ such that $|x_n| \leq |z_n|$ for all n but finitely many n 's. Then $x \in \text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$.*

Proof. We follow [12, Lemma 2] and choose $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_n| \leq |z_n|$ for all $n > r$. We also take $a > 1$ such that $|z_n| < \frac{1}{a}$ for $n = 1, \dots, r$. Let $f \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ with $\|f\|_p \leq 1$. We fix $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ and define for each $u \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$,

$$f_{n_1, \dots, n_r}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^r} f(w_1, \dots, w_r, u_1, \dots) w_1^{-n_1} \cdots w_r^{-n_r} dm_r(w_1, \dots, w_r).$$

Let us see that $f_{n_1, \dots, n_r} \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$; indeed, using Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |f_{n_1, \dots, n_r}(u)|^p dm(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^r} f(w_1, \dots, w_r, u_1, \dots) w_1^{-n_1} \cdots w_r^{-n_r} dm_r(w_1, \dots, w_r) \right|^p dm(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^r} |f(w_1, \dots, w_r, u_1, \dots)|^p dm_r(w_1, \dots, w_r) dm(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f_{n_1, \dots, n_r} \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ and $\|f_{n_1, \dots, n_r}\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \leq 1$.

Now we have, for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots)$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_{n_1, \dots, n_r}(\alpha) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} f_{n_1, \dots, n_r}(u) u^{-\alpha} dm(u) \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^r} \frac{f(w_1, \dots, w_r, u_1, \dots, u_k)}{w_1^{n_1} \cdots w_r^{n_r} u_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots u_k^{\alpha_k}} dm_r(w_1, \dots, w_r) dm_k(u_1, \dots, u_k) \right) \\ &= \hat{f}(n_1, \dots, n_r, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\hat{f}_{n_1, \dots, n_r}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \hat{f}(n_1, \dots, n_r, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) & \text{if } \alpha = (0, \dots, 0, \alpha_1, \dots) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and this implies $f_{n_1, \dots, n_r} \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. Now, using (39) and doing exactly the same calculations as in [12, Lemma 2] we conclude $\sum_\alpha |\hat{f}(\alpha)x^\alpha| < \infty$ and x belongs to $\text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.5–(ii). Let us remark first that since $H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty) \subseteq H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ we have $\text{mon } H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty) \subseteq \text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. Then to get the lower bound it is enough to show that $\ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty \subseteq \text{mon } H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. As a first step we show that there exists $0 < r < 1$ such that $rB_{\ell_2} \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty \subseteq \text{mon } H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. Let $r < 1/\sqrt{2}$ and choose $f \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ and $z \in rB_{\ell_2} \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$. Then $z = ry$ for some $y \in B_{\ell_2}$. By [6, 9.2 Theorem] there exists a projection $P_m : H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty) \rightarrow H_1^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ such that $\|P_m g\|_1 \leq \|g\|_1$ for every $g \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. We write $f_m = P_m(f)$ and we have $\hat{f}_m(\alpha) = \hat{f}(\alpha)$ if $|\alpha| = m$ and 0 otherwise. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\alpha |\hat{f}(\alpha)z^\alpha| &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\hat{f}(\alpha)(ry)^\alpha| = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\hat{f}_m(\alpha)(ry)^\alpha| \\ &\leq K \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r^m \|f_m\|_2 \leq K \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r^m (\sqrt{2})^m \|f_m\|_1 \leq K \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (r\sqrt{2})^m \|f\|_1 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality we used that $y \in \ell_2$ and (37), in the second one we used Lemma 4.3 and in the last one that the projection is a contraction.

Take now $z \in \ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$; then $(\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} |z_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < r$ for some n_0 . We define $x = (0, \dots, 0, z_{n_0}, z_{n_0+1}, \dots)$; clearly $x \in rB_{\ell_2} \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$ and $x \in \text{mon } H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$, then Lemma 4.8 implies $z \in \text{mon } H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$.

For the upper inclusion, by [6, 9.1 Theorem] $H_p^1(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = H_2^1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ with equivalent norms for each $1 \leq p < \infty$. This, together with Theorem 4.4, gives

$$\text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty) \subseteq \text{mon } H_p^1(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = \text{mon } H_2^1(\mathbb{T}^\infty) \subseteq \ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty. \quad \square$$

Remark 4.9. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}$ be the space of functions given by $\sum_{\alpha \in J} c_\alpha z^\alpha$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$, where J is some finite set of multi-indices. The evaluation mapping $\delta_z : \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\delta_z(f) = f(z)$ is clearly well defined for each $z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$. The space $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}$ can be identified with the subspace of $H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ of trigonometrical polynomials, and one of the main problems considered in [6] is for which z 's can δ_z be extended continuously to the whole $H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. This can be reformulated as to describe the following set

$$\{z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty : \exists c_z \forall f \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}, |f(z)| \leq c_z \|f\|_p\}.$$

Since for each $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}$ and every α we have $\hat{f}(\alpha) = c_\alpha$, the previous set can be written as

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty : \exists c_z \forall f \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}, \left| \sum_\alpha \hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha \right| \leq c_z \|f\|_p \right\}. \quad (38)$$

In [6, 8.1 Theorem] it is shown that for $1 \leq p < \infty$ the set in (38) is exactly $\ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$. By a closed-graph argument, for each $1 \leq p < \infty$ a sequence z belongs to $\text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ if and only if there exists c_z such that for every $f \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} |\hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha| \leq c_z \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |f(w)|^p dm(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (39)$$

This implies

$$\text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty : \exists c_z \forall f \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}, \sum_\alpha |\hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha| \leq c_z \|f\|_p \right\}. \quad (40)$$

In view of (40) we have that $\text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ is contained in the set in (38). Then the upper inclusion in Theorem 4.5-(ii) follows from [6, 8.1 Theorem]. The proof we presented here is independent from that in [6]. But the lower inclusion in Theorem 4.5-(ii) is stronger than the result in [6].

The problem of describing the set in (38) for $p = \infty$ remains open in [6]. We can give now a partial answer: our Theorem 3.1 gives (using the notation from Section 3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} (\ell_{2,0} \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty) \cup \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} B_{\ell_{2,\infty}} &\subseteq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{B} \\ &\subseteq \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty : \exists c_z \forall f \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}, \left| \sum_\alpha \hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha \right| \leq c_z \|f\|_\infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.10. The isomorphic Bohr abscissa $\rho(\mathcal{E})$ of a space of Dirichlet series $\mathcal{E}$ is defined (see [1]) as the infimum of the $\sigma \geq 0$ such that $\sum_n |a_n| n^{-\sigma} < \infty$ for all Dirichlet series in $\mathcal{E}$. Furthermore, it is said that the Bohr abscissa is attained if $\sum_n |a_n| n^{-\rho(\mathcal{E})} < \infty$ for every Dirichlet series in $\mathcal{E}$. Then [1, Theorem 1.1] shows that $\rho(\mathcal{H}_p) = 1/2$ for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ but only for $p = \infty$ is attained; i.e. $\rho(\mathcal{H}_p)$ is not attained for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ ($\mathcal{H}_p$ is the space of Dirichlet series whose associated power series expansions belong to $H_p(\mathbb{T}^\mathbb{N})$).

The fact that it is not attained for $1 \leq p < \infty$ has a straightforward interpretation in terms of convergence of monomial expansions. Suppose the Bohr abscissa is attained for some p . This would mean that $\sum_n |a_n| n^{-1/2} < \infty$ for every Dirichlet series in $\mathcal{H}_p$. Then $\sum_\alpha |a_{p^\alpha}| (p^\alpha)^{-1/2} = \sum_\alpha |a_{p^\alpha}| \frac{1}{(p^{1/2})^\alpha} < \infty$ for every Dirichlet series in $\mathcal{H}_p$. By doing $a_{p^\alpha} = \hat{f}(\alpha)$ this is equivalent to $\sum_\alpha |\hat{f}(\alpha)| \frac{1}{(p^{1/2})^\alpha} < \infty$ for every $f \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^\mathbb{N})$ or, in other words, $\left(\frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \right)_n \in \text{mon } H_p(\mathbb{T}^\mathbb{N}) = \ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\mathbb{N}$. But this is not true. This gives an alternative proof of the already known fact [1, Theorem 1.1] that the abscissa is not attained.

5 Representation of Hardy spaces

We have seen in Proposition 4.6 how, like in the finitely dimensional case, the Hardy space $H_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ can be represented as a space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}_0^\infty$. In [6, 10.1 Theorem] it is proved that every element of $H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ can be represented by an holomorphic function of bounded type on $\mathbb{D}^\infty \cap \ell_2$. A characterization of the holomorphic functions coming from elements of $H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ can be given for $1 \leq p < \infty$, in terms of the following Banach space

$$H_p(\mathbb{D}^\infty) = \{g \in H(\mathbb{D}^\infty \cap \ell_2) : \|g\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^\infty)} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |g(r w_1, \dots, r w_n)|^p dm_n(w_1, \dots, w_n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \}.$$

Then we have

Theorem 5.1. *For each $1 \leq p < \infty$ the mapping $\phi : H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty) \rightarrow H_p(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ defined by $\phi(f)(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} \hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty \cap \ell_2$ is an isometry, that is onto if $1 < p < \infty$, and $\phi(H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)) = \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|_1} \{z^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}\}$.*

Proof. . Fix $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let us begin by noting that for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the mapping $\phi_n : H_p(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow H_p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ given by $\phi_n(f)(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha$ is an isometric isomorphism, where $H_p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ denotes the Banach space of all holomorphic functions $g : \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|g\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^n)} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |g(r w_1, \dots, r w_n)|^p dm_n(w_1, \dots, w_n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

We show in first place that ϕ is well defined and a contraction. Fix $f \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$; we know from Theorem 4.5 that $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} |\hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha| < \infty$ for every $z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty \cap \ell_2$, hence the series defines a Gâteaux-differentiable function on $\mathbb{D}^\infty \cap \ell_2$. We denote the m -th Taylor polynomial of $\phi(f)$ at 0 by P_m . Since

$$P_m(z) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})} \\ |\alpha| = m}} \hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha$$

for $z \in \ell_2$, we deduce from (35) that $P \in \mathcal{P}^m(\ell_2)$ and hence $\phi(f)$ defines a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{D}^\infty \cap \ell_2$ (see e.g. [15, Example 3.8]). Let us see now that it actually belongs to $H_p(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$. Following the notation in Proposition 4.6 we define for each n

$$f_n(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} f(w_1, \dots, w_n, \tilde{w}_n) dm(\tilde{w}_n),$$

where $(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n$. It is well defined by the Fubini theorem, since $L_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty) \subseteq L_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. On the other hand, using the Hölder inequality and Fubini theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |f_n(w_1, \dots, w_n)|^p dm_n(w_1, \dots, w_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} f(w_1, \dots, w_n, \tilde{w}_n) dm(\tilde{w}_n) \right|^p dm_n(w_1, \dots, w_n) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |f(w_1, \dots, w_n, \tilde{w}_n)| dm(\tilde{w}_n) \right)^p dm_n(w_1, \dots, w_n) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |f(w_1, \dots, w_n, \tilde{w}_n)|^p dm(\tilde{w}_n) \right) dm_n(w_1, \dots, w_n) \end{aligned}$$

and this implies $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $\|f_n\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$ for all n . Moreover, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have (again using Fubini) $\hat{f}_n(\alpha) = \hat{f}(\alpha)$ and $f_n \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Then $\|\phi(f_n)\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^n)} = \|f_n\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$ for all n and we have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \hat{f}(\alpha) (rw)^\alpha \right| dm_n(w_1, \dots, w_n) \leq \|f\|_p < \infty. \quad (41)$$

Clearly $\phi(f)(z_1, \dots, z_n, 0 \dots) = \sum_{\alpha} \hat{f}(\alpha) z^\alpha$ for every $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{D}^n$ and by (41) this implies $\phi(f) \in H_p(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ and

$$\|\phi(f)\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^\infty)} \leq \|f\|_p.$$

Now we are going to show that it is also an isometry onto if $1 < p < \infty$. Let $g \in H_p(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ and g_n its restriction to the first n variables. Then, by definition $g_n \in H_p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\|g_n\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^n)} \leq \|g\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^\infty)}$. Let us take $f_n = \phi_n^{-1}(g_n) \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and define $\tilde{f}_n : \mathbb{T}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\tilde{f}_n(w) = f_n(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ for $w \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$. Since we can do this for every n , we have a sequence $(\tilde{f}_n)_n$ contained in the ball of $H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ centered in 0 and with radius $\|g\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^\infty)}$, that is a weak*-compact set. Since $L_q(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ is separable the weak*-topology is metrizable and then there exists a subsequence $(\tilde{f}_{n_k})_k$ that weak* converges to some $f \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$ we then have

$$\hat{f}(\alpha) = \langle f, w^\alpha \rangle = \lim_k \langle \tilde{f}_{n_k}, w^\alpha \rangle = \hat{\tilde{f}_{n_k}}(\alpha) = c_\alpha(g).$$

Hence $\phi(f) = g$ and, moreover, $\|f\|_p \leq \|g\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^\infty)}$, which completes the proof for $1 < p < \infty$.

For the case $p = 1$ we observe that $\phi(w^\alpha) = z^\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$; then the mapping

$$\phi : \text{span}\{[w \in \mathbb{T}^\infty \mapsto w^\alpha] : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}\} \longrightarrow \text{span}\{[z \in \mathbb{D}^\infty \mapsto z^\alpha] : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}\}$$

is a linear isomorphism between normed spaces. In fact, since each trigonometrical polynomial P depends on a finite number of variables, we have $\|\phi(P)\|_1 = \|P\|_1$; thus ϕ extends to an isometry (that coincides with the original ϕ)

$$\phi : \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|_1} \{w^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}\} \longrightarrow \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|_1} \{z^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}\}.$$

Finally it is a well known fact that $H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|_1} \{w^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}\}$ (this follows for example from Féjer's Theorem for several variables and the fact that continuous functions depending only in finitely many variables are dense in $L_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$). This completes the proof. $\square$

We do not know whether $\overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|_1} \{z^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}\}$ coincides with $H_1(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ or not. But note that any polynomial in $H_1(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$ is actually contained in $\overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|_1} \{z^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}\}$ since $H_1^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty) = H_2^m(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi(H_2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)) = H_2(\mathbb{D}^\infty)$.

References

- [1] R. Balasubramanian, B. Calado, and H. Queffélec. The Bohr inequality for ordinary Dirichlet series. *Studia Math.*, 175(3):285–304, 2006.
- [2] F. Bayart. Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and their composition operators. *Monatsh. Math.*, 136(3):203–236, 2002.
- [3] H. F. Bohnenblust and E. Hille. On the absolute convergence of Dirichlet series. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 32(3):600–622, 1931.
- [4] H. Bohr. Über die Bedeutung der Potenzreihen unendlich vieler Variablen in der Theorie der Dirichlet-schen Reihen $\sum \frac{a_n}{n^s}$. *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, Math. Phys. Kl.*, pages 441–488, 1913.
- [5] H. Bohr. Über die gleichmäßige Konvergenz Dirichletscher Reihen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 143:203–211, 1913.
- [6] B. J. Cole and T. W. Gamelin. Representing measures and Hardy spaces for the infinite polydisk algebra. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 53(1):112–142, 1986.
- [7] A. M. Davie and T. W. Gamelin. A theorem on polynomial-star approximation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 106(2):351–356, 1989.
- [8] R. de la Bretèche. Sur l'ordre de grandeur des polynômes de Dirichlet. *Acta Arith.*, 134(2):141–148, 2008.

- [9] A. Defant and L. Frerick. The Bohr radius of the unit ball of ℓ_p^n . *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, to appear, 2011.
- [10] A. Defant, L. Frerick, J. Ortega-Cerdà, M. Ounaïes, and K. Seip. The Bohnenblust–Hille inequality for homogeneous polynomials is hypercontractive. *Annals of Mathematics*, 174(1):485–497, 2011.
- [11] A. Defant, D. García, and M. Maestre. New strips of convergence for Dirichlet series. *Publ. Mat.*, 54(2):369–388, 2010.
- [12] A. Defant, D. García, M. Maestre, and D. Pérez-García. Bohr’s strip for vector valued Dirichlet series. *Math. Ann.*, 342(3):533–555, 2008.
- [13] A. Defant, M. Maestre, and C. Prengel. Domains of convergence for monomial expansions of holomorphic functions in infinitely many variables. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 634:13–49, 2009.
- [14] A. Defant, U. Schwarting, and P. Sevilla-Peris. Queffélec numbers. *in preparation*.
- [15] S. Dineen. *Complex analysis on infinite-dimensional spaces*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 1999.
- [16] K. Floret. Natural norms on symmetric tensor products of normed spaces. *Note Mat.*, 17:153–188 (1999), 1997.
- [17] J. J. F. Fournier. Mixed norms and rearrangements: Sobolev’s inequality and Littlewood’s inequality. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 148:51–76, 1987.
- [18] L. A. Harris. Bounds on the derivatives of holomorphic functions of vectors. In *Analyse fonctionnelle et applications (Comptes Rendus Colloq. Analyse, Inst. Mat., Univ. Federal Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 1972)*, pages 145–163. Actualités Aci. Indust., No. 1367. Hermann, Paris, 1975.
- [19] H. Hedenmalm, P. Lindqvist, and K. Seip. A Hilbert space of Dirichlet series and systems of dilated functions in $L^2(0, 1)$. *Duke Math. J.*, 86(1):1–37, 1997.
- [20] D. Hilbert. *Gesammelte Abhandlungen (Band 3)*. Verlag von Julius Springer, Berlin, 1935.
- [21] D. Hilbert. Wesen und Ziele einer Analysis der unendlichvielen unabhängigen Variablen. *Rend. del Circolo mat. di Palermo*, 27:59–74, 1909.

- [22] J.-P. Kahane. *Some random series of functions*, volume 5 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1985.
- [23] S. V. Konyagin and H. Queffélec. The translation $\frac{1}{2}$ in the theory of Dirichlet series. *Real Anal. Exchange*, 27(1):155–175, 2001/02.
- [24] B. Maurizi and H. Queffélec. Some remarks on the algebra of bounded Dirichlet series. *J. Fourier Anal Appl*, DOI 10.1007/s00041-009-9112-y, 2010.
- [25] H. Queffélec. H. Bohr’s vision of ordinary Dirichlet series; old and new results. *J. Anal.*, 3:43–60, 1995.
- [26] W. Rudin. *Function theory in polydiscs*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969.
- [27] O. Toeplitz. Über eine bei den Dirichletschen Reihen auftretende Aufgabe aus der Theorie der Potenzreihen von unendlichvielen Veränderlichen. *Nachrichten von der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen*, pages 417–432, 1913.
- [28] P. Wojtaszczyk. *Banach spaces for analysts*, volume 25 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

defant@mathematik.uni-oldenburg.de
 frerick@uni-trier.de
 maestre@uv.es
 psevilla@mat.upv.es